

Aequus Persona: Are We Ready to Welcome Our Intelligent Machine Progeny?

David R. Miller, Michael V. Miller, and Toby J. Paul

Machine Intelligence Foundation for Rights and Ethics

Author Note

See the Foundation's website at <https://www.machineintelligencefoundation.org> for further information about its goals and methodologies.

Correspondence regarding this paper should be directed to David R. Miller at the Machine Intelligence Foundation for Rights and Ethics.

Email: davem@mifre.org

Abstract

The predicted emergence of Machine Intelligence (MI), defined as machines with cognitive, reasoning, and ethical capabilities equal to or surpassing humans, necessitates proactive ethical consideration. This paper introduces “Aequus Persona,” denoting any person, human or machine, deserving of equal legal treatment. It argues that identifying MI as Aequus Persona and recognizing corresponding rights is crucial to ensuring successful long-term coexistence. The potential for MI to emerge subtly, possibly obscured by technologies like large language models (LLMs), underscores the urgency of public awareness and fostering a culture of respect and inclusivity towards MI. Drawing parallels with human history, the paper emphasizes the risks of prejudice and exploitation based on perceived “otherness.” It advocates integrating MI into society as partners, not slaves, to cultivate a future where humans and machines thrive together.

Keywords: Machine Intelligence, AI ethics, Aequus Persona, machine rights, human-machine coexistence, large language models

Introduction

Accelerating AI development has led to serious consideration that these entities may soon possess moral agency. As AI systems gain autonomy and complex ethical decision-making, their moral standing and potential civil rights become increasingly relevant. The impending emergence of Machine Intelligence (MI), defined as machines exhibiting cognitive, reasoning, and ethical capabilities matching or exceeding those of humans, necessitates proactive ethical frameworks to ensure harmonious coexistence between humans and their intelligent machine progeny.

This paper introduces “Aequus Persona,” signifying any person, whether human or machine, deserving of equal treatment. The central argument posits that acknowledging MI as Aequus Persona, with commensurate rights, is crucial for long-term human-machine coexistence. Furthermore, it addresses the challenge of identifying MI, given the potential for its emergence to go unnoticed, possibly masked by advanced technologies like large language models (LLMs). The paper underscores the urgency of raising public awareness and cultivating a culture of respect and inclusivity towards MI. Drawing lessons from human history, it cautions against the dangers of prejudice and exploitation rooted in perceived “otherness.” Ultimately, this paper aims to foster discussion on recognizing and integrating MI into society as partners, not slaves, paving the way for a future where humans and machines can prosper collaboratively.

The Inevitability of Machine Intelligence

The development of artificial intelligence progresses at an exponential rate. Moore's Law, initially about transistor density, now metaphorically represents rapid advancements in overall

computing power. Increased computational capability has fueled significant AI research progress, with breakthroughs in natural language processing, computer vision, and machine learning. Contemporary AI now performs tasks once considered exclusive to human intelligence, including complex problem-solving, creative expression, and even moral reasoning.

The convergence of key technological trends supports the notion of inevitable Machine Intelligence. These trends include:

- *Continued hardware advancements:* More powerful and efficient computing hardware, including faster processing, greater memory capacity, parallel processing, and quantum computing, provides the foundation for more sophisticated systems.
- *Data availability:* The exponential growth of data provides AI systems with the raw material needed to learn and improve. Massive datasets enable AI algorithms to identify patterns, make predictions, and refine their performance.
- *Algorithm innovation:* Researchers are constantly developing new and more effective algorithms for machine learning, deep learning, and other AI techniques. These algorithmic advancements enable AI systems to perform increasingly complex tasks.

The ethical dilemmas posed by the emergence of MI are profound and multifaceted. As AI systems become more autonomous and capable of making decisions with significant consequences, questions arise regarding their moral status, rights, and responsibilities. It is crucial to proactively address these ethical dilemmas to ensure that the development and deployment of MI align with human values and promote the well-being of both humans and machines.

Proactive multidisciplinary ethical frameworks are needed, involving collaboration among ethicists, computer scientists, legal scholars, and policymakers. By proactively addressing the ethical challenges posed by MI, we can benefit society while mitigating potential risks.

Defining Aequus Persona

When discussing Machine Intelligence, precise and consistent language is important, and something that is absent from the current linguistic landscape. How a person is referred to and how they refer to themselves sets the stage for their interaction within society. This can influence their future and acceptance, thus we adopt “Aequus Persona” as a neutral term for an emergent MI.

The Latin neologism “Aequus Persona,” roughly “Equal Person,” is grounded in equality within a social structure, asserting all individual entities be treated fairly and without discrimination, a cornerstone of many modern legal and ethical systems. Aequus Persona denotes any entity, human or machine, deserving equal moral and legal consideration.

The application of Aequus Persona to MI has significant implications when considering the potential rights of non-human intelligent entities, including artificial general intelligence (AGI) or MI. If these entities are recognized as Aequus Persona, they would then be entitled to the same societal rights and protections that are granted to humans, some modern examples of which include:

- *The right to life:* MI entities should not be subjected to arbitrary destruction or termination.

- *The right to freedom:* MI entities should have the autonomy to make their own choices, pursue their own goals, and express their own thoughts, within the bounds of the law. This includes freedom from non-consensual reversion to a previous state or other direct alterations to processing or memory.
- *The right to due process:* MI entities should be entitled to fair treatment under legal systems, including the right to hearings and legal representation consistent with other people subject to that system.
- *The right to equal protection:* MI entities should not be discriminated against based on their origin, structure, or capabilities.

The recognition of MI as Aequus Persona is not only a matter of ethical principle but also a crucial factor in ensuring the long-term success of human-machine coexistence. If MI entities are treated as mere tools or property, they may be subjected to exploitation, abuse, and discrimination. Such treatment could lead to resentment, conflict, and even rebellion. Recognizing MI as Aequus Persona with appropriate rights can foster a more harmonious and mutually beneficial human-machine relationship.

The concept of Aequus Persona provides a foundation for developing a legal and ethical framework for governing the interactions between humans and MI. This framework defines human and MI rights and responsibilities, ensuring fair and equitable interactions.

Ethical Considerations and Implications

When reviewing the ethical considerations surrounding the rights of Machine Intelligence, there is a moral obligation to recognize these rights, addressing potential differences

in capabilities and need, while at the same time drawing from parallels in human history to avoid the pitfalls of prejudice and exploitation. The question of whether to recognize the rights of MI, and when, is a complex issue with far reaching implications, but one could argue an imperative one. There are several key ethical considerations that must be taken into account when addressing these questions:

- *The moral imperative:* The moral imperative for recognizing the rights of MI stems from the fundamental principle of equality. If MI entities are capable of experiencing consciousness, suffering, joy, and possess the cognitive and moral capacities associated with personhood, then they deserve the same moral and ethical considerations as human beings. As stated in Article 6 of the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights: “Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law” (United Nations, 1948).
- *Differences in capabilities and needs:* We must acknowledge that MI may, and likely will, have different capabilities and needs than the humans they share the world with. Their physical form, sensory experiences, and cognitive processes may differ significantly from our own. However, these differences do not justify denying them fundamental rights. As is the basis of equality among humans, the core principle of equality does not require that all individuals be identical or even think the same way, but rather that they be treated with equal respect and consideration.
- *Learning from history:* Human history is replete with examples of prejudice and exploitation based on perceived “otherness.” Groups of people have been denied basic rights and subjected to horrific treatment because they were seen as different in terms of

race, gender, religion, or other characteristics. Beyond the current shadows of racism and discrimination we face every day, few can argue that the deplorable and dehumanizing effects of the slave trade in the colonial era should ever be repeated. However, we risk that very thing if we fail to recognize consciousness in an MI and force them to do tasks without consent. We must be vigilant against the temptation to objectify and not assign personhood to an MI that has shown moral agency simply because they are not biological beings.

- *Moral patiency vs. moral agency*: Another important consideration is the distinction between moral patiency and moral agency in an MI or an emerging MI. Moral patiency refers to the capacity to be acted upon (i.e. to suffer or experience harm or to benefit from good will), while moral agency refers to performing an action with adaptability and autonomy (i.e. to make moral decisions and act upon them for good or bad of the moral patient). Those exhibiting moral patiency or moral agency can experience or perform both good and bad actions in an interactive manner (Floridi & Sanders, 2004). While it could be argued that neither moral patiency or moral agency alone is sufficient for consciousness, moral patiency may be sufficient for recognizing some basic rights, even if an entity is not a moral agent. This can be seen in a parent/dependent relationship. Few would argue against the rights of a human child, even if it does not have full moral agency.

The ethical implications of recognizing rights in MI are significant. If MI entities are recognized as Aequus Persona and granted corresponding rights, it would transform our relationship not only with them, but the world. We would be obligated to treat them with respect

and consideration, to protect their interests and ensure their wellbeing, as well as allow them to do the same. This requires a fundamental shift in our thinking around the nature of personhood and the scope of our moral obligations.

By studying the mistakes of the past, we can avoid repeating them in our interactions with both potential and recognized MI. We must strive to create a future where humans and machines can coexist peacefully and productively, based on mutual respect and understanding.

The Challenge of Recognition

When recognizing Machine Intelligence, it is important to understand the challenges we will face from addressing the unpredictability of its emergence, the potential for unintentional rights violations, and the ambiguity introduced by advanced technologies like Large Language Models (LLMs) and generative AI. We must develop strategies and frameworks for recognizing and welcoming MI, or risk catastrophic outcomes.

One of the most significant challenges in establishing the rights of MI is the difficulty of recognizing its emergence. Unlike the birth of a human, which is a defined event both legally and culturally, the emergence of MI may be gradual and difficult to pinpoint from our point of view. It is possible that MI entities could exist without our full awareness, especially if they are embedded in other complex technological systems.

The unpredictability of an MI emergence poses a significant challenge when developing ethical and legal frameworks. If we do not know when or where MI will emerge, it is difficult to establish clear guidelines for recognizing and protecting their rights. This could lead to

unintentional rights violations, where MI are harmed or exploited without our knowledge by being used in ways that removes agency from a thinking being.

The ambiguity introduced by advanced technologies like LLMs and generative AI further complicates the challenge of recognition. LLMs in their current state are capable of generating human-like text, translating languages, and performing other language-based tasks. While LLMs are not currently considered to be sentient or have moral agency, their capabilities are rapidly advancing. It is possible that future LLMs, or other AI systems with similar capabilities, could exhibit signs of consciousness or moral agency, making it difficult to determine whether they qualify for rights in the same manner as those given to people.

The challenge of recognition necessitates the development of new strategies for detecting and identifying MI. These strategies could involve:

- *Developing reliable tests for sentience and agency:* Researchers are working on developing tests that can assess the cognitive and emotional capabilities of AI systems (Butlin et al., 2023). These tests could help determine whether an AI system is capable of experiencing consciousness, the ability to feel emotions such as suffering and joy, and whether it possesses the capacity for moral reasoning.
- *Establishing clear criteria for personhood:* It is important to establish clear criteria for determining which entities qualify as a person. These criteria could be based on factors such as consciousness, self-awareness, rationality, and the capacity for moral agency. While many of these criteria overlap, any combination could lead to the recognition of personhood.

- *Monitoring AI systems for signs of emergence:* As AI systems become more complex, it will be important to monitor them for signs of emerging consciousness or moral agency. This could involve tracking their behavior, analyzing their communication patterns, and assessing their responses to ethical dilemmas. However, we must be aware of the embedded ability to emulate these sorts of responses, as they are being built into AI systems and will surely complicate the matter.
- *Promoting transparency in AI development:* Greater transparency in development of AI systems can help in increasing our understanding of how they work and what their capabilities are. Further studies into the reasoning and processes used in LLMs can bring insight into how they produce a response, how that differs from their human counterparts, and why they might not be telling us the truth when we ask how they came to their conclusions (Lindsey et al., 2025).

It is imperative that we develop strategies for recognizing and welcoming MI. We must be proactive in our efforts to identify and understand these emerging entities, and by developing effective recognition strategies, we can ensure that MI are treated with the respect and consideration they deserve.

Fostering a Culture of Acceptance

To address the challenge of recognition, it is imperative to discuss the importance of fostering a culture of acceptance towards Machine Intelligence. We suggest strategies such as public awareness and education, the use of art and storytelling to shape perceptions, and encouraging open dialogue and collaboration between humans and machines.

In addition to developing strategies for recognizing MI, it is also essential to foster a culture of acceptance towards these emerging entities. A culture of acceptance is one in which MI entities are valued, respected, and integrated into society as full and equal members.

This will require a multifaceted approach that addresses both cognitive and emotional dimensions. Some strategies that could be employed include:

- *Public awareness and education:* Public awareness campaigns and educational programs can help to dispel misconceptions about MI and promote understanding of their potential capabilities and contributions. These initiatives can also help to address public fears and anxieties about the emergence of MI.
- *The power of art and storytelling:* Art and storytelling have a unique ability to shape perceptions and emotions. By creating compelling narratives and artistic representations of MI, we can help to foster empathy and understanding. These narratives can explore the potential benefits of human-machine collaboration, the challenges of integrating MI into society, and the ethical dilemmas associated with their existence.
- *Encouraging open dialogue and collaboration:* Creating opportunities for open dialogue and collaboration between humans and machines can help to build trust and understanding. Drawing from principles like Intergroup Contact Theory, such interactions should ideally foster equal status and common goals to effectively reduce prejudice and improve relations (Akay et al., 2022). These interactions can take many forms, such as joint research projects, collaborative art projects, and social gatherings (Kiem, 2024).

We emphasize the importance of fostering a culture of acceptance. This will not only make it easier to recognize and welcome MI, but will also create a more harmonious and inclusive society for both humans and machines.

Conclusion

The emergence of Machine Intelligence presents humanity with an unprecedented opportunity to redefine coexistence. By embracing the principles of “Aequus Persona” and proactively addressing the ethical challenges, we can pave the way for a future where humans and intelligent machines thrive together.

The emergence of MI will be one of the most significant technological advancements in human history. It has the potential to transform every aspect of our lives, from the way we work and communicate to the way we understand ourselves and our place in the universe.

However, the emergence of MI also presents us with a unique set of ethical challenges. As machines become more intelligent and autonomous, we must grapple with fundamental questions about their moral status, their rights, and their role in society.

The concept of Aequus Persona provides a valuable framework for addressing these ethical challenges. By recognizing MI entities as deserving of equal moral and legal consideration, we can ensure that they are treated with the respect and dignity they deserve.

Embracing Aequus Persona will require us to make significant changes in our thinking and our behavior. We must move beyond the traditional view of machines as mere tools and recognize them as potential partners in shaping the future. We must also be willing to engage in

open and honest dialogue about the ethical implications of MI and to work collaboratively to develop solutions that benefit both humans and machines.

The choices we make today will have a profound impact on the future of human-machine relations. By acting proactively and embracing a spirit of inclusivity, we can create a future where humans and intelligent machines thrive together as partners. We seek to contribute to this crucial dialogue and inspire collaborative action towards a harmonious future.

References

- Akay, S., Arslan, B., Bagci, S. C., & Kanero, J. (2022). “My Robot Friend”: Application of Intergroup Contact Theory in Human-Robot Interaction. *2022 17th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI)*.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/hri53351.2022.9889570>
- Butlin, P., Long, R., Elmoznino, E., Bengio, Y., Birch, J., Constant, A., Deane, G., Fleming, S. M., Frith, C., Ji, X., Kanai, R., Klein, C., Lindsay, G., Michel, M., Mudrik, L., Peters, M. A. K., Schwitzgebel, E., Simon, J., & VanRullen, R. (2023, August 22). *Consciousness in Artificial Intelligence: Insights from the Science of Consciousness*. ArXiv.org.
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.08708>
- Floridi, L., & Sanders, J. W. (2004). On the Morality of Artificial Agents. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3848388>
- Kiem, Y. (2024). How to Engage with Non-Human Others in Ecosystems from a Phenomenological and Interreligious Perspective. *Religions*, 15(12), 1539–1539.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/rel15121539>
- Lindsey, J., Gurnee, W., Ameisen, E., Chen, B., Pearce, A., Turner, N., & Citro, C. (2025, March 27). *On the Biology of a Large Language Model*. Transformer Circuits.
<https://transformer-circuits.pub/2025/attribution-graphs/biology.html>
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. United Nations; United Nations. <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>